

Neighborhood Plan Discussion Group Fulton Market Corridor Summary

DISCUSSION GROUP DATE: August 09, 2016
DISCUSSION START TIME: ~7:00 p.m.
DISCUSSION END TIME: ~9:00 p.m.
DISCUSSION LOCATION: 1000 W. Washington Lofts – Community Room
NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS: 13

HIGHLIGHTS:

- Nine topics presented for discussion
 - *Development Height,*
 - *Traffic,*
 - *Parking,*
 - *Schools,*
 - *Community Facilities,*
 - *Parks,*
 - *Street-scaping,*
 - *Infrastructure, and*
 - *Public Safety*
- *Development Height/Density and Traffic/Parking* were overwhelmingly prioritized over all other topics as most distressing and most important
 - Concern regarding *Development Height/Density and Traffic/Parking* dominated the group discussion and consumed all of the allotted meeting time



Neighborhood Plan Discussion Group Fulton Market Corridor Summary

Discussion Topic	Describe Current State (Satisfactory/Unsatisfactory)	Describe Desired State (Needed Enhancements)	Responsibility (City, Developer, Owner/Leasor, etc.)	Rank 1-10 (not rate Importance (most important = 1))
<p>Development guidelines</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - height/density - set-back requirements - material requirements - architectural aspects 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Zoning = PMD → • Fulton Market Innovation District Guidelines states (for the Lake Street Business and Service Subarea): “Building scale: Three to eight stories or more.” → • Style and materials are predominantly brick and masonry → 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Remain PMD zoned → • Revise wording to state: “Building scale: not to exceed 120 feet in height with a setback of six feet from the street curb.” → • Remain/adhere to Fulton Market Innovation District Guidelines → 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • City and Developer • City (to be adhered to by Developer) • Developer (enforced by the City) 	<div style="border: 1px solid black; border-radius: 50%; width: 30px; height: 30px; display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center; margin: 0 auto;">1</div> <p>Rationale =</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inadequate infrastructure • Traffic concerns • Parking issues



Neighborhood Plan Discussion Group Fulton Market Corridor Summary

Discussion Topic	Describe Current State (Satisfactory/Unsatisfactory)	Describe Desired State (Needed Enhancements)	Responsibility (City, Developer, Owner/Leasor, etc.)	Rank 1-10 (not rate) Importance (most important = 1)
<p>Traffic</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - flow/congestion - traffic control - dangerous intersections - alley usage/ROW <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <p>Parking</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - residents - visitors (e.g. customer, guests, patrons) - employees 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poorly marked pedestrian walkways → • Bike lane on Lake Street → • Multiple construction projects concurrently underway → 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Improve pedestrian walkway markings</u> → ○ 4-Way stop signs with flashing lights on Lake between Morgan and Racine → • Relocate bike lane from Lake St. to Fulton or Randolph → • Sequenced schedule → ○ Temporary stop signs → ○ Enforced parking laws → ○ Temporary parking for construction related workers → 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • City • City • City • City ○ Developer and City ○ City and Police ○ Developer 	<p style="font-size: 2em; border: 1px solid black; border-radius: 50%; width: 40px; height: 40px; display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center; margin: 0 auto;">2</p> <p>Questions raised:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Shouldn't the City hold developers accountable for promises/commitments? (e.g. Sterling Bay promise of 300 parking spaces) before issuing occupancy permits?

Traffic and Parking continued – see next page



Neighborhood Plan Discussion Group Fulton Market Corridor Summary

Discussion Topic	Describe Current State (Satisfactory/Unsatisfactory)	Describe Desired State (Needed Enhancements)	Responsibility (City, Developer, Owner/Leasor, etc.)	Rank 1-10 (not rate) Importance (most important = 1)
<p>Traffic</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - flow/congestion - traffic control - dangerous intersections - alley usage/ROW <p style="text-align: center;">AND</p> <p>Parking</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - residents - visitors (e.g. customer, guests, patrons) - employees 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poorly marked pedestrian walkways → • Inadequate street cleaning → • Inadequate snow removal → • Too few trash/garbage cans → 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improve pedestrian walkway markings → • Increase frequency of street cleaning → • Increase frequency of snow removal → • Increase the number of trash/garbage cans → 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • City • City/Sanitation • City/Sanitation • City/Sanitation 	<div style="border: 1px solid black; border-radius: 50%; width: 30px; height: 30px; display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center; margin: 0 auto;">2</div> <p>Questions raised:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Should the feasibility and appeal of Resident Permit parking be assessed?

